1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 KIMBERLY SWEENY, 4) Plaintiff, Case No.: 2:15-cv-0053-GMN-PAL 5 VS. **ORDER** 6 HORIZON SPECIALTY HOSPITAL, 7 Defendant. 8 9 10 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States 11 Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 5), which states that this case should be closed. 12 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a 13 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 14 D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo 15 determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, 16 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 17 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is 18 not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an 19 objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized 20 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation 21 where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 22 1122 (9th Cir. 2003). 23 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. 24 Accordingly, 25

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 5), is

Case 2:15-cv-00053-GMN-PAL Document 6 Filed 07/27/15 Page 2 of 2

ADOPTED in full. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case. **DATED** this 27th day of July, 2015. Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court